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#### Abstract

Electromagnetic TM wave radiation from an obliquely flanged parallel-plate waveguide is studied. The Fourier-transform/series and Green's formula is used to represent the scattered wave and the simultaneous equations for the modal coefficients are formulated. Residue calculus is utilized to obtain a fast-convergent series solution. Numerical evaluation shows the behaviors of transmission, reflection, and radiation in terms of junction geometry and operating frequency.


Index Terms-Fourier transform, mode matching, residue arithmetic, waveguide bends.

## I. Introduction

ELECTROMAGNETIC radiation from a flanged par-allel-plate waveguide is an important subject due to its flush-mounted antenna and waveguide junction applications. Radiation from a right-angled, infinitely flanged parallel-plate waveguide has been extensively studied and its behaviors are well understood [1] and [2]. Radiation from an obliquely flanged parallel-plate waveguide is of some theoretical interest, but its radiation study is relatively very little [3], [4]. Scattering from an infinite number of inclined strips [5] and inclined parallel-plate waveguides [6] have been also studied with mode-matching technique. In this paper, we intend to study transverse-magnetic-to-wave-propagation (TM) wave radiation from an obliquely flanged parallel-plate waveguide, using the Fourier transform and series representations. In Sections II and III, we will present convergent series solutions for reflection, transmission, and radiation, and illustrate their numerical behaviors.

## II. TM Field Representations

Consider a TM wave radiating from an obliquely flanged par-allel-plate waveguide into a conducting plane as shown in Fig. 1. When a conducting plane is removed, the scattering geometry becomes a half-space radiation problem. The wavenumber is $k$ $\left(=2 \pi / \lambda, \lambda\right.$ : wavelength) and an $e^{-i \omega t}$ time-harmonic convention is suppressed.

In region (I), the total incident and reflected $H$ fields are

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{y}^{i}(x, z)=\cos \left(a_{p} x\right) e^{i k_{p} z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Fig. 1. Obliquely flanged parallel-plate waveguide.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{y}^{r}(x, z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m} \cos \left(a_{m} x\right) e^{-i k_{m} z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{p}=p \pi / a$ and $k_{p}=\sqrt{k^{2}-a_{p}^{2}}$.
In region (III), the $H$ field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{y}^{I I I}(u, v)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\tilde{H}_{+}(\zeta) e^{i \kappa v}+\tilde{H}_{-}(\zeta) e^{-i \kappa v}\right] e^{-i \zeta u} d \zeta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa=\sqrt{k^{2}-\zeta^{2}}$.
Let us relate the fields in regions I and III, by applying the second Green's formula [6], [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{c}\left(H_{y} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}-G \frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial \nu}\right) d l=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $c$ denotes the contour $O A B$ (Fig. 1). The auxiliary function, which satisfies the Helmholtz equation in region I , is chosen to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{q}^{ \pm}=\cos \left(a_{q} x\right) e^{ \pm i k_{q} z}, \quad q=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $G_{q}^{+}$and $G_{q}^{-}$are linearly independent of each other [5]. The fields on the line $O B$ are written in a Fourier series on the interval $(-g, 0)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.H_{y}\right|_{O B} & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{n} e^{i(2 \pi n / g) u}  \tag{6}\\
\left.\frac{\partial H_{y}}{\partial v}\right|_{O B} & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_{n} e^{i(2 \pi n / g) u} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ are unknown coefficients.


Fig. 2. Closed path for contour integration in $\zeta$ plane.

Substituting $G_{q}^{+}$in (5) and the fields in (6)-(7) into (4) gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m} i a k_{q} \varepsilon_{q} \delta_{m q}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[C_{n}\left(a_{q} g_{q} \sin \alpha+k_{q} \cos \alpha \chi_{n}^{+}\right)\right. \\
\left.+D_{n} i \chi_{n}^{+}\right] \Lambda_{q}\left(\chi_{n}^{+}\right) \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly substituting $G_{q}^{-}$, in (5) and the fields in (6)-(7) into (4) gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-i a k_{q} \varepsilon_{q} \delta_{p q}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[C_{n}\left(a_{q} g_{q} \sin \alpha-k_{q} \cos \alpha \chi_{n}^{-}\right)\right. \\
\left.+D_{n} i \chi_{n}^{-}\right] \Lambda_{q}\left(\chi_{n}^{-}\right) \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

where $g_{q}=q \pi / g, \Lambda_{q}(\zeta)=\left(1-(-1)^{q} e^{-i g \zeta}\right) /\left(g_{q}^{2}-\zeta^{2}\right), \chi_{n}^{ \pm}=$ $(2 \pi n / g) \pm k_{q} \sin \alpha, q=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $\delta_{p q}$ is the Kronecker delta.

The $E_{u}$ continuity at $v=b$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{-}(\zeta)=\tilde{H}_{+}(\zeta) e^{i 2 \kappa b} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $E_{u}$ continuity at $v=0$ between regions II and III is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\omega \epsilon} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\tilde{H}_{+}(\zeta)-\tilde{H}_{-}(\zeta)\right] \kappa e^{-i \zeta u} d \zeta \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{i \omega \epsilon} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_{n} e^{i(2 \pi n / g) u}, & \text { for }-g<u<0 \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the Fourier transform of (11) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tilde{H}_{+}(\zeta)-\tilde{H}_{-}(\zeta)\right] \kappa=-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_{n} F_{n}^{-}(\zeta) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n}^{ \pm}(\zeta)=\left(1-e^{ \pm i g \zeta}\right) /(\zeta+(2 \pi n / g))$.
The $H_{y}$ continuity at $v=0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{n} e^{i(2 \pi n / g) u}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\tilde{H}_{+}(\zeta)+\tilde{H}_{-}(\zeta)\right] e^{-i \zeta u} d \zeta \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (10) and (12) into (13), multiplying (13) by $e^{-i(2 \pi m / g) u}$, and performing integration with respect to $u$ from $-g$ to 0 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{D_{n}}{g} I_{m n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cot (\kappa b)}{\kappa} F_{m}^{+}(\zeta) F_{n}^{-}(\zeta) d \zeta \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We transform $I_{m n}$ into a rapidly-convergent series by utilizing the residue calculus as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{m n}=-i \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\left(1-e^{i g \zeta_{t}}\right)}{b \zeta_{t} \varepsilon_{t}} \frac{\left[\zeta_{t}^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \pi}{g}\right)^{2} m n\right]}{\left[\zeta_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi m}{g}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\zeta_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{g}\right)^{2}\right]} \\
+g \delta_{m n} \frac{\cot \left(b \sqrt{k^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{g}\right)^{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{k^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{g}\right)^{2}}} \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

where $\zeta_{t}=\sqrt{k^{2}-(t \pi / b)^{2}}, \varepsilon_{t}= \begin{cases}2, & \text { when } t=0 \\ 1, & t=1,2,3, \ldots\end{cases}$
When $b$ goes to $\infty$ (half space), it is more convenient to transform (15) into a fast-convergent branch-cut integral. Consider a deformed contour path shown in Fig. 2. Performing a contour integration, we transform (15) into a pole contribution at $\zeta=2 \pi m / g(m=n)$ and branch-cut integral along $\Gamma_{3}$ and $\Gamma_{4}$ associated with a branch point $\zeta=k$. The result is shown in (17) at the bottom of the next page. A set of simultaneous (8), (9), and (14) constitutes the final formulation and must be numerically evaluated for the modal coefficients $B_{m}, C_{n}$, and $D_{n}$. The scattered fields at $u= \pm \infty$ in region III is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{y}^{I I I}( \pm \infty, v)=\sum_{t} K_{t}^{ \pm} \cos b_{t}(v-b) e^{ \pm i \zeta_{t} u} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{t}^{ \pm}=-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_{n} \frac{1-e^{ \pm i \zeta_{t} g}}{b \zeta_{t} \varepsilon_{t}(-1)^{t}\left(\mp \zeta_{t}+\frac{2 \pi n}{g}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$b_{t}=(t \pi / b), 0 \leq t, t:$ integer.
The transmission $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and reflection $(\rho)$ coefficients in Fig. 1 are

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{1} & =\frac{b}{a \varepsilon_{p} k_{p}} \sum_{t} \varepsilon_{t} \zeta_{t}\left|K_{t}^{+}\right|^{2}  \tag{20}\\
\tau_{2} & =\frac{b}{a \varepsilon_{p} k_{p}} \sum_{t} \varepsilon_{t} \zeta_{t}\left|K_{t}^{-}\right|^{2}  \tag{21}\\
\rho & =\sum_{m} \frac{\varepsilon_{m} k_{m}}{\varepsilon_{p} k_{p}}\left|B_{m}\right|^{2} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 3. Behavior of transmission $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and reflection $(\rho)$ coefficients versus the normalized frequency $(k a / 2 \pi)$ for the oblique parallel-plate waveguide $(a / b=1, p=0)$.


Fig. 4. TEM reflection coefficient magnitude for various $\alpha$ with $b \rightarrow \infty$.
where $0 \leq t<(k b / \pi)$, $t$ : integer, $0 \leq m<(k a / \pi)$, $m$ : integer. When $b$ goes to $\infty$, the far-zone scattered field at distance $r$ from the origin is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{y}^{I I I}(\theta)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi k r}} e^{i(k r-(\pi / 4))}\left[k \cos \theta \tilde{H}_{+}(-k \sin \theta)\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. Numerical Evaluations

In this section, we show the numerical results to illustrate the radiation and scattering properties of obliquely flanged


Fig. 5. Behavior of angular radiation pattern $(b \rightarrow \infty)$.


Fig. 6. Reflection coefficient magnitude for $\alpha=60^{\circ}$ with $b \rightarrow \infty(a=$ 12 cm ).
parallel-plate waveguide. Fig. 3 shows the TM wave behavior of transmission ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) and reflection $(\rho)$ coefficients versus the normalized frequency $(k a / \pi)$. The incident field is assumed to be (1) with $p=0$. We check the numerical accuracy of our approach by confirming the energy conservation $\left(\tau_{1}+\right.$ $\tau_{2}+\rho=1$ ). The number of the modal coefficients used in (8) and (9) must be large enough to include the propagation plus several higher-evanescent modes for numerical accuracy. In Fig. 3, our computational experience indicates that the rate of series convergence to achieve numerical accuracy is inversely proportional to the taper angle $\alpha$. When $\alpha \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$, our

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m n}=-\frac{2 i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[1-e^{i g k(1+i v)}\right]}{\sqrt{v(v-2 i)}} \frac{\left[k^{2}(1+i v)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \pi}{g}\right)^{2} m n\right]}{\left[k^{2}(1+i v)^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi m}{g}\right)^{2}\right]\left[k^{2}(1+i v)^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{g}\right)^{2}\right]} d v+\delta_{m n} \frac{g}{\sqrt{k^{2}-\left(\frac{2 \pi m}{g}\right)^{2}}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE I
Convergence Behavior of $\left|B_{m}\right|$ and Power Conservation Error Versus $n$ and Taper Angle $\alpha$ With $a=0.3 \lambda$, $p=0$, and $b \rightarrow \infty(m=0,1,2, \ldots, 2 n)$

|  | $\alpha \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | $\alpha=-15^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|B_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{1}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{2}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{3}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{4}\right\|$ | error[\%] | $\left\|B_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{1}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{2}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{3}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{4}\right\|$ | error[\%] |
| $\mathrm{n}=1$ | 0.2832 | 0.0000 | 0.1040 | - | - | 0.00 | 0.2692 | 0.1456 | 0.0666 | - | - | 0.83 |
| $\mathrm{n}=2$ | 0.2823 | 0.0000 | 0.1055 | 0.0000 | 0.0322 | 0.00 | 0.2673 | 0.1470 | 0.0686 | 0.0283 | 0.0183 | 0.61 |
| $\mathrm{n}=3$ | 0.2819 | 0.0000 | 0.1062 | 0.0000 | 0.0326 | 0.00 | 0.2664 | 0.1472 | 0.0690 | 0.0287 | 0.0193 | 0.51 |
| $\mathrm{n}=4$ | 0.2817 | 0.0000 | 0.1066 | 0.0000 | 0.0329 | 0.00 | 0.2660 | 0.1472 | 0.0691 | 0.0288 | 0.0194 | 0.46 |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | 0.2815 | 0.0000 | 0.1069 | 0.0000 | 0.0330 | 0.00 | 0.2657 | 0.1471 | 0.0692 | 0.0287 | 0.0194 | 0.42 |
| $\mathrm{n}=6$ | 0.2814 | 0.0000 | 0.1071 | 0.0000 | 0.0331 | 0.00 | 0.2655 | 0.1470 | 0.0692 | 0.0286 | 0.0193 | 0.40 |


|  | $\alpha=-30^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | $\alpha=-45^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|B_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{1}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{2}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{3}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{4}\right\|$ | error[\%] | $\left\|B_{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{1}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{2}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{3}\right\|$ | $\left\|B_{4}\right\|$ | error[\%] |
| $\mathrm{n}=1$ | 0.2286 | 0.2196 | 0.0601 | - | - | 4.22 | 0.1727 | 0.2397 | 0.0514 | - | - | 13.73 |
| $\mathrm{n}=2$ | 0.2247 | 0.2185 | 0.0620 | 0.0292 | 0.0168 | 3.19 | 0.1687 | 0.2268 | 0.0490 | 0.0212 | 0.0114 | 10.08 |
| $\mathrm{n}=3$ | 0.2237 | 0.2166 | 0.0612 | 0.0290 | 0.0175 | 2.77 | 0.1693 | 0.2176 | 0.0450 | 0.0192 | 0.0105 | 8.57 |
| $\mathrm{n}=4$ | 0.2235 | 0.2151 | 0.0602 | 0.0283 | 0.0170 | 2.55 | 0.1707 | 0.2109 | 0.0417 | 0.0172 | 0.0092 | 7.74 |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | 0.2237 | 0.2138 | 0.0594 | 0.0276 | 0.0164 | 2.44 | 0.1721 | 0.2057 | 0.0390 | 0.0155 | 0.0082 | 7.18 |
| $\mathrm{n}=6$ | 0.2240 | 0.2127 | 0.0586 | 0.0269 | 0.0159 | 2.37 | 0.1731 | 0.2013 | 0.0369 | 0.0143 | 0.0074 | 6.72 |

transmission coefficient $\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ agrees with the E plane T-junction transmission coefficient $\left(\tau_{2}\right)$ in [8]. When $\alpha=20^{\circ}$, the energy conservation is satisfied to better than $2 \%$ error with $m=10$ and $n=5$, indicating a fast convergent rate of our series solution. However, when $\alpha=45^{\circ}$, the worst case (7\% error in energy conservation) occurs with $m=10$ and $n=5$. A variation in $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ is less sensitive to a change in $\alpha$ for a single-mode frequency region $((k a / 2 \pi)=0 \sim 0.5)$ than for a higher-mode region $((k a / 2 \pi)>0.5)$. Fig. 4 describes the TEM reflection coefficient as a function of $a / \lambda$ for three different taper angles $\alpha=0^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$, and $45^{\circ}$ when $b \rightarrow \infty$. Our numerical results are seen to be in a good agreement with the geometric theory of diffraction (GTD) solution in [4]. The TM wave angular radiation patterns are shown in Fig. 5 when $b \rightarrow \infty$. It illustrates that an increase in the taper angle $\alpha$ tends to shift a maximum radiation angle $(\theta)$ toward $90^{\circ}$. To check the accuracy of our computation, we compare our result of $\alpha=60^{\circ}$ with the numerical data of Computer Simulation Technology (CST) MW STUDIO, which is a commercially available numerical computation tool. The numerical data based on the CST MW STUDIO show the TM wave angular radiation patterns ( $u-v$ plane cut) of three-dimensional (3-D) oblique aperture (aperture width in the $y$ direction: $5 \lambda$, flange width: $4 \lambda \times 4 \lambda$ ). It is seen that the numerical data based on the MW STUDIO agree favorably with our theory except for some discrepancies near the endfire direction. The discrepancies may be attributed to the fact that our theory assumes a parallel-plate waveguide ( $0.4 \lambda$ ) with an infinite flange whereas the MW STUDIO uses a rectangular waveguide $(0.4 \lambda \times 5 \lambda)$ with a finite-sized $(4 \lambda \times 4 \lambda)$ flange. In Fig. 6, we compare our theory with the MW STUDIO
which evaluates the reflection coefficient of a 3-D oblique aperture (WR-975 rectangular waveguide). In order to compare our theory with the 3-D result, we replace $k_{m}$ in (1) and (2) with $k_{m}=\sqrt{k^{2}-a_{m}^{2}-(\pi / 0.25)^{2}}$ [9]. The comparison between our theory and the MW STUDIO result reveals a good agreement in a single-mode operating frequency band ( $0.75-1.12 \mathrm{GHz}$ ). Discrepancies between two results become pronounced in a higher frequency regime beyond the $\mathrm{TE}_{01}$ cutoff frequency ( 1.25 GHz ). In what follows, we discuss the rate of numerical convergence for our computation in term of the number of modes $n$ and the taper angle $\alpha$. Table I shows the convergence behavior of $\left|B_{m}\right|$ and power conservation error versus $n$ and the taper angle $\alpha$. As $\alpha$ increases, the convergence rate for $\left|B_{m}\right|$ slows down and the error in energy conservation becomes pronounced. In order to further investigate the rate of numerical convergence, we plot in Fig. 7 the condition number for the system of simultaneous (9) used in Table I. Note that the condition number increases exponentially as the number of modes $n$ increases linearly. An increase in $\alpha$ results in an increase in the condition number, thus indicating an increase in numerical instability. We also plot the convergence behavior for the tangential field component $\left.H_{y}\right|_{O B}$ for different $n$ in Fig. 8. It is seen that slow convergence in the tangential field $\left.H_{y}\right|_{O B}$ is observed near the acute wedge $(-0.5<u / g<0)$ while fast convergence is achieved at the other end.

## IV. CONCLUSION

TM wave radiation from an obliquely flanged parallelplate guide is investigated using the Fourier transform/series


Fig. 7. Condition number of simultaneous (9) versus $n$ with $b \rightarrow \infty$ and $a=0.3 \lambda$.


Fig. 8. Magnitude of tangential magnetic field component at the waveguide aperture with $\alpha=-30^{\circ}, b \rightarrow \infty$, and $a=0.3 \lambda$.
technique. Rigorous solutions for radiation and reflection are obtained in numerically efficient forms based on a residue calculus. Numerical computations are performed to illustrate the radiation and reflection behavior of obliquely flanged parallel-plate waveguide. The numerical convergence in our series solution is discussed in terms of the condition number and the error in energy conservation. It is shown that our theory is applicable to the problem of radiation from an obliquely flanged rectangular waveguide.
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